

Restricted Lie algebras in characteristic $p = 2$

Quentin Ehret

Post-Doc Day, April 2024

Joint work with Abdenacer Makhlouf

جامعة نيويورك أبوظبي



Non-associative algebras

A vector space $(A, +)$ over a field \mathbb{K} is called **algebra** if it is endowed with a multiplicative law $A \times A \rightarrow A$.



Sophus Lie
(1842-1899)

- **associative** : $a(bc) = (ab)c, \forall a, b, c \in A$;
- **commutative** : $ab = ba, \forall a, b \in A$;
- **Lie algebra** :
 - 1 $[a, b] = -[b, a], \forall a, b \in A$;
 - 2 $[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0, \forall a, b, c \in A$
(Jacobi).

Non-associative algebras

A vector space $(A, +)$ over a field \mathbb{K} is called **algebra** if it is endowed with a multiplicative law $A \times A \rightarrow A$.



Sophus Lie
(1842-1899)

- **associative** : $a(bc) = (ab)c, \forall a, b, c \in A$;
- **commutative** : $ab = ba, \forall a, b \in A$;
- **Lie algebra** :
 - 1 $[a, b] = -[b, a], \forall a, b \in A$;
 - 2 $[a, [b, c]] + [b, [c, a]] + [c, [a, b]] = 0, \forall a, b, c \in A$
(Jacobi).

Example : Let A be an associative algebra and $[a, b] := ab - ba, a, b \in A$.

Restricted Lie algebras

Let \mathbb{K} a field of characteristic $p \geq 2$ and A an associative \mathbb{K} -algebra. With the commutator, it's a Lie algebra. The adjoint representation is then given by

$$\text{ad}_x(y) = xy - yx.$$

Restricted Lie algebras

Let \mathbb{K} a field of characteristic $p \geq 2$ and A an associative \mathbb{K} -algebra. With the commutator, it's a Lie algebra. The adjoint representation is then given by

$$\text{ad}_x(y) = xy - yx.$$

Let $m > 0$. Then

$$\text{ad}_x^m(y) = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^{m-j} x^j y x^{m-j}.$$

Restricted Lie algebras

Let \mathbb{K} a field of characteristic $p \geq 2$ and A an associative \mathbb{K} -algebra. With the commutator, it's a Lie algebra. The adjoint representation is then given by

$$\mathrm{ad}_x(y) = xy - yx.$$

Let $m > 0$. Then

$$\mathrm{ad}_x^m(y) = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^{m-j} x^j y x^{m-j}.$$

Then, if $m = p$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{ad}_x^p(y) = x^p y - y x^p = \mathrm{ad}_{x^p}(y).$$

Restricted Lie algebras

Definition (Jacobson)

A **restricted Lie algebra** is a Lie algebra L equipped with a map $(\cdot)^{[p]} : L \rightarrow L$ satisfying for all $x, y \in L$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$:

$$\textcircled{1} (\lambda x)^{[p]} = \lambda^p x^{[p]};$$



Nathan Jacobson (1910-1999)

Restricted Lie algebras

Definition (Jacobson)

A **restricted Lie algebra** is a Lie algebra L equipped with a map $(\cdot)^{[p]} : L \rightarrow L$ satisfying for all $x, y \in L$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$:

$$① (\lambda x)^{[p]} = \lambda^p x^{[p]};$$

$$② [x, y^{[p]}] = [\underbrace{[\dots [x, y], y], \dots, y}]_{p \text{ terms}};$$



Nathan Jacobson (1910-1999)

Restricted Lie algebras

Definition (Jacobson)

A **restricted Lie algebra** is a Lie algebra L equipped with a map $(\cdot)^{[p]} : L \rightarrow L$ satisfying for all $x, y \in L$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$:

$$\textcircled{1} (\lambda x)^{[p]} = \lambda^p x^{[p]};$$

$$\textcircled{2} [x, y^{[p]}] = \overbrace{[[\cdots [x, y], y], \cdots, y]}^{p \text{ terms}};$$

$$\textcircled{3} (x + y)^{[p]} = x^{[p]} + y^{[p]} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} s_i(x, y),$$



Nathan Jacobson (1910-1999)

with $s_i(x, y)$ the coefficient of Z^{i-1} in $\text{ad}_{Zx+y}^{p-1}(x)$. Such a map $(\cdot)^{[p]} : L \rightarrow L$ is called p -map.

Restricted Lie algebras

Definition (Jacobson)

A **restricted Lie algebra** is a Lie algebra L equipped with a map $(\cdot)^{[p]} : L \rightarrow L$ satisfying for all $x, y \in L$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$:

1 $(\lambda x)^{[p]} = \lambda^p x^{[p]}$;

2 $[x, y^{[p]}] = [\overbrace{[\dots [x, y], y], \dots, y}^{p \text{ terms}}]$;

3 $(x + y)^{[p]} = x^{[p]} + y^{[p]} + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} s_i(x, y)$,



Nathan Jacobson (1910-1999)

with $s_i(x, y)$ the coefficient of Z^{i-1} in $\text{ad}_{Z_{x+y}}^{p-1}(x)$. Such a map $(\cdot)^{[p]} : L \rightarrow L$ is called p -map.

Example: any associative algebra A with $[a, b] = ab - ba$ and $a^{[p]} = a^p, \forall a, b \in A$.

Restricted Lie algebras

Definition

A Lie algebra morphism $f : (L, [\cdot, \cdot], (\cdot)^{[p]}) \rightarrow (L', [\cdot, \cdot]', (\cdot)^{[p]'})$ is called **restricted** if

$$f(x^{[p]}) = f(x)^{[p]'}, \quad \forall x \in L.$$

A L -module M is called **restricted** if

$$x^{[p]} \cdot m = \left(\overbrace{x \cdot (x \cdots (x \cdot m) \cdots)}^{p \text{ terms}} \right), \quad \forall x \in L, \quad \forall m \in M.$$

Restricted Lie algebras, $p = 2$

From now on, all the algebras will be considered over a field \mathbb{F} of characteristic $p = 2$.

Definition

A **restricted Lie algebra** in characteristic 2 is a Lie algebra L equipped with a map $(\cdot)^{[2]} : L \rightarrow L$ such that, for all $x, y \in L$ and all $\lambda \in F$,

- 1 $(\lambda x)^{[2]} = \lambda^2 x^{[2]}$;
- 2 $[x, y^{[2]}] = [[x, y], y]$;
- 3 $(x + y)^{[2]} = x^{[2]} + y^{[2]} + [x, y]$.

Proposition

Let L be a restricted Lie algebra in characteristic $p = 2$. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in L$. Then we have the formula

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{[2]} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{[2]} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [x_i, x_j].$$

Restricted Lie algebras, $p = 2$

Consider the formal space $L[[t]] := \left\{ \sum_i t^i x_i, x_i \in L \right\}$.

Proposition

Let L be a Lie algebra. Then $L[[t]]$ is a restricted Lie algebra with the extended bracket

$$\left[\sum_{i \geq 0} t^i x_i, \sum_{j \geq 0} t^j y_j \right] = \sum_{i,j} t^{i+j} [x_i, y_j], \quad \forall x_i, y_j \in L. \quad (1)$$

and the 2-mapping $(\cdot)^{[2]_t}$ given by

$$\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} t^i x_i \right)^{[2]_t} := \sum_{i \geq 0} t^{2i} x_i^{[2]} + \sum_{i,j} t^{i+j} [x_i, x_j]. \quad (2)$$

Restricted Lie algebras, $p = 2$

Consider the formal space $L[[t]] := \left\{ \sum_i t^i x_i, x_i \in L \right\}$.

Proposition

Let L be a Lie algebra. Then $L[[t]]$ is a restricted Lie algebra with the extended bracket

$$\left[\sum_{i \geq 0} t^i x_i, \sum_{j \geq 0} t^j y_j \right] = \sum_{i,j} t^{i+j} [x_i, y_j], \quad \forall x_i, y_j \in L. \quad (1)$$

and the 2-mapping $(\cdot)^{[2]_t}$ given by

$$\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} t^i x_i \right)^{[2]_t} := \sum_{i \geq 0} t^{2i} x_i^{[2]} + \sum_{i,j} t^{i+j} [x_i, x_j]. \quad (2)$$

Remark. By expanding the formula (2) and by arranging the terms by monomials of the same degree, we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} t^n x_n \right)^{[2]_t} = \sum_{n \geq 0} t^n \left((n+1) x_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^{[2]} + \sum_{\substack{i < j \\ i+j=n}} [x_i, x_j] \right), \quad (3)$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the floor function.

Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology

Let L be an ordinary Lie algebra and M be an ordinary L -module. We set

$$C_{\text{CE}}^m(L, M) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\wedge^m L, M) \quad \text{for } m \geq 1,$$

$$C_{\text{CE}}^0(L, M) \cong M.$$

Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology

Let L be an ordinary Lie algebra and M be an ordinary L -module. We set

$$C_{\text{CE}}^m(L, M) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\Lambda^m L, M) \quad \text{for } m \geq 1,$$
$$C_{\text{CE}}^0(L, M) \cong M.$$

The differential maps $d_{\text{CE}}^m : C_{\text{CE}}^m(L, M) \rightarrow C_{\text{CE}}^{m+1}(L, M)$ are given by

$$d_{\text{CE}}^m(\varphi)(x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1} (-1)^{i+j-1} \varphi([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{m+1})$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} (-1)^i x_i \varphi(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{m+1}),$$

where \hat{x}_i means that the element is omitted.

Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology

Let L be an ordinary Lie algebra and M be an ordinary L -module. We set

$$C_{CE}^m(L, M) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\Lambda^m L, M) \quad \text{for } m \geq 1,$$
$$C_{CE}^0(L, M) \cong M.$$

The differential maps $d_{CE}^m : C_{CE}^m(L, M) \rightarrow C_{CE}^{m+1}(L, M)$ are given by

$$d_{CE}^m(\varphi)(x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1} (-1)^{i+j-1} \varphi([x_i, x_j], x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{m+1})$$
$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} (-1)^i x_i \varphi(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{m+1}),$$

where \hat{x}_i means that the element is omitted.

Proposition

We have $d_{CE}^{m+1} \circ d_{CE}^m = 0$.

Restricted cohomology, $p = 2$

Let L be an restricted Lie algebra and M be a restricted L -module. A pair (φ, ω) with $\varphi : \wedge^n L \rightarrow M$ and $\omega : L^{n-1} \rightarrow M$ is a n -cochain if

$$\bullet \omega(\lambda x, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}) = \lambda^2 \omega(x, z_2, \dots, z_n), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{F};$$

Restricted cohomology, $p = 2$

Let L be an restricted Lie algebra and M be a restricted L -module. A pair (φ, ω) with $\varphi : \wedge^n L \rightarrow M$ and $\omega : L^{n-1} \rightarrow M$ is a n -cochain if

- 1 $\omega(\lambda x, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}) = \lambda^2 \omega(x, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}), \lambda \in \mathbb{F};$
- 2 ω is multilinear in $z_2, \dots, z_{n-1};$

Restricted cohomology, $p = 2$

Let L be an restricted Lie algebra and M be a restricted L -module. A pair (φ, ω) with $\varphi : \wedge^n L \rightarrow M$ and $\omega : L^{n-1} \rightarrow M$ is a n -cochain if

- 1 $\omega(\lambda x, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}) = \lambda^2 \omega(x, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}), \lambda \in \mathbb{F};$
- 2 ω is multilinear in $z_2, \dots, z_{n-1};$
- 3 $\omega(x + y, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}) = \omega(x, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}) + \omega(y, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}) + \varphi(x, y, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}).$

We denote the spaces thus obtained by $C_{*2}^n(L, M)$.

Restricted cohomology, $p = 2$

We build the differential maps $d_{*2}^n : C_{*2}^n(L, M) \longrightarrow C_{*2}^{n+1}(L, M)$.

Restricted cohomology, $p = 2$

We build the differential maps $d_{*2}^n : C_{*2}^n(L, M) \longrightarrow C_{*2}^{n+1}(L, M)$.

Let $d_{*2}^n(\varphi, \omega) = (d_{CE}^n(\varphi), \delta^n(\omega))$, with

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^n \omega(x, z_2, \dots, z_n) &= x \cdot \varphi(x, z_2, \dots, z_n) \\ &+ \sum_{i=2}^n z_i \cdot \omega(x, z_2, \dots, \hat{z}_i, \dots, z_n) \\ &+ \varphi(x^{[2]}, z_2, \dots, z_n) \\ &+ \sum_{i=2}^n \varphi([x, z_i], x, z_2, \dots, \hat{z}_i, \dots, z_n) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \omega(x, [z_i, z_j], z_2, \dots, \hat{z}_i, \dots, \hat{z}_j, \dots, z_n).\end{aligned}$$

Restricted cohomology, $p = 2$

We build the differential maps $d_{*2}^n : C_{*2}^n(L, M) \longrightarrow C_{*2}^{n+1}(L, M)$.

Let $d_{*2}^n(\varphi, \omega) = (d_{CE}^n(\varphi), \delta^n(\omega))$, with

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^n \omega(x, z_2, \dots, z_n) &= x \cdot \varphi(x, z_2, \dots, z_n) \\ &+ \sum_{i=2}^n z_i \cdot \omega(x, z_2, \dots, \hat{z}_i, \dots, z_n) \\ &+ \varphi(x^{[2]}, z_2, \dots, z_n) \\ &+ \sum_{i=2}^n \varphi([x, z_i], x, z_2, \dots, \hat{z}_i, \dots, z_n) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \omega(x, [z_i, z_j], z_2, \dots, \hat{z}_i, \dots, \hat{z}_j, \dots, z_n).\end{aligned}$$

$$(\dots) \longrightarrow C_{*2}^{n-1}(L, M) \xrightarrow{d_{*2}^{n-1}} C_{*2}^n(L, M) \xrightarrow{d_{*2}^n} C_{*2}^{n+1}(L, M) \xrightarrow{d_{*2}^{n+1}} (\dots)$$

Proposition

① Let $(\varphi, \omega) \in C_{*2}^n(L, M)$. Then $(d_{CE}^n(\varphi), \delta^n(\omega)) \in C_{*2}^{n+1}(L, M)$;

Restricted cohomology, $p = 2$

Proposition

- 1 Let $(\varphi, \omega) \in C_{*2}^n(L, M)$. Then $(d_{CE}^n(\varphi), \delta^n(\omega)) \in C_{*2}^{n+1}(L, M)$;
- 2 We have $\delta^{n+1} \circ \delta^n = 0$.

We define:

$$Z_{*2}^n(L, M) = \{(\varphi, \omega) \in C_{*2}^n(L, M), d_{CE}^n(\varphi) = 0, \delta^n(\omega) = 0\}.$$

$$B_{*2}^n(L, M) = \{(\varphi, \omega) \in C_{*2}^n(L, M), (\varphi, \omega) \in \text{im}(d_{CE}^{n-1}, \delta^{n-1})\}.$$

$$H_{*2}^n(L, M) = Z_{*2}^n(L, M) / B_{*2}^n(L, M).$$

Formal Deformations

Let $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], (\cdot)^{[2]})$ be a restricted Lie algebra.

Definition

A formal deformation of $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], (\cdot)^{[2]})$ is a restricted structure on the formal space $L[[t]]$, given for $x, y \in L$, by

$$m_t : (x, y) \mapsto [x, y] + \sum_{i \geq 1} t^i m_i(x, y), \quad \omega_t : x \mapsto x^{[2]} + \sum_{j \geq 1} t^j \omega_j(x),$$

with $m_i : \wedge^2(L, L) \rightarrow L$ and $\omega_i : L \rightarrow L$. Moreover, the two following conditions must be satisfied, for $x, y, z \in L$:

$$m_t((x, m_t(y, z)) + m_t((y, m_t(z, x)) + m_t((z, m_t(x, y))) = 0; \quad (4)$$

$$m_t(x, \omega_t(y)) = m_t(m_t(\overbrace{\cdots m_t(x, y), y}^{p \text{ terms}}, \cdots), y); \quad (5)$$

Formal Deformations

Let $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], (\cdot)^{[2]})$ be a restricted Lie algebra.

Definition

A formal deformation of $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], (\cdot)^{[2]})$ is a restricted structure on the formal space $L[[t]]$, given for $x, y \in L$, by

$$m_t : (x, y) \mapsto [x, y] + \sum_{i \geq 1} t^i m_i(x, y), \quad \omega_t : x \mapsto x^{[2]} + \sum_{j \geq 1} t^j \omega_j(x),$$

with $m_i : \wedge^2(L, L) \rightarrow L$ and $\omega_i : L \rightarrow L$. Moreover, the two following conditions must be satisfied, for $x, y, z \in L$:

$$m_t((x, m_t(y, z)) + m_t((y, m_t(z, x)) + m_t((z, m_t(x, y))) = 0; \quad (4)$$

$$m_t(x, \omega_t(y)) = m_t(m_t(\overbrace{m_t(\cdots m_t(x, y), y)}^{p \text{ terms}}, \cdots), y); \quad (5)$$

A formal deformation is of order $N > 0$ if $m_t = \sum_{i \geq 0}^N t^i m_i$, $\omega_t = \sum_{j \geq 0}^N t^j \omega_j$.

Formal Deformations

Let $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], (\cdot)^{[2]})$ be a restricted Lie algebra and $(L[[t]], m_t, \omega_t)$ a formal deformation.

Proposition

- For all $i \geq 0$, we have $(m_i, \omega_i) \in C_{*2}^2(L, L)$.
- The pair (m_1, ω_1) is a 2-cocycle, that is, $d_{CE}^2(m_1) = 0$, $\delta^n(\omega_1) = 0$.

Formal Deformations

Let $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], (\cdot)^{[2]})$ be a restricted Lie algebra and $(L[[t]], m_t, \omega_t)$ a formal deformation.

Proposition

- For all $i \geq 0$, we have $(m_i, \omega_i) \in C_{*2}^2(L, L)$.
- The pair (m_1, ω_1) is a 2-cocycle, that is, $d_{CE}^2(m_1) = 0$, $\delta^n(\omega_1) = 0$.

For $N > 0$, we define for all $x, y, z \in L$ the quantities

$$\text{obs}_{N+1}^{(1)}(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(m_i(x, m_{N+1-i}(y, z)) + m_i(y, m_{N+1-i}(z, x)) + m_i(z, m_{N+1-i}(x, y)) \right);$$

$$\text{obs}_{N+1}^{(2)}(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(m_i(y, \omega_{N+1-i}(x)) + m_i(m_{N+1-i}(y, x), x) \right).$$

Formal Deformations

Let $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], (\cdot)^{[2]})$ be a restricted Lie algebra and $(L[[t]], m_t, \omega_t)$ a formal deformation.

Proposition

- For all $i \geq 0$, we have $(m_i, \omega_i) \in C_{*2}^2(L, L)$.
- The pair (m_1, ω_1) is a 2-cocycle, that is, $d_{CE}^2(m_1) = 0$, $\delta^n(\omega_1) = 0$.

For $N > 0$, we define for all $x, y, z \in L$ the quantities

$$\text{obs}_{N+1}^{(1)}(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(m_i(x, m_{N+1-i}(y, z)) + m_i(y, m_{N+1-i}(z, x)) + m_i(z, m_{N+1-i}(x, y)) \right);$$

$$\text{obs}_{N+1}^{(2)}(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(m_i(y, \omega_{N+1-i}(x)) + m_i(m_{N+1-i}(y, x), x) \right).$$

Proposition

- We have $(\text{obs}_{N+1}^{(1)}, \text{obs}_{N+1}^{(2)}) \in C_{*2}^3(L, L)$.
- A deformation of order N extends to a deformation of order $N + 1$ if and only if

$$(\text{obs}_{N+1}^{(1)}, \text{obs}_{N+1}^{(2)}) \in B_{*2}^3(L, L).$$

Formal Deformations

Definition

Two formal deformations $(L[[t]], m_t, \omega_t)$ and $(L[[t]], m'_t, \omega'_t)$ of a restricted Lie algebra are called equivalent if there is a formal automorphism $\phi_t = id + \sum_{i>0} t^i \phi_i$ such that, for all $x, y \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_t(m_t(x, y)) &= m(\phi_t(x), \phi_t(y)); \\ \phi_t(\omega_t(x)) &= \omega(\phi_t(x)).\end{aligned}$$

Formal Deformations

Definition

Two formal deformations $(L[[t]], m_t, \omega_t)$ and $(L[[t]], m'_t, \omega'_t)$ of a restricted Lie algebra are called equivalent if there is a formal automorphism $\phi_t = id + \sum_{i>0} t^i \phi_i$ such that, for all $x, y \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_t(m_t(x, y)) &= m(\phi_t(x), \phi_t(y)); \\ \phi_t(\omega_t(x)) &= \omega(\phi_t(x)).\end{aligned}$$

Proposition

Let $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], (\cdot)^{[2]})$ be a restricted Lie algebra and $(L[[t]], m_t, \omega_t)$, $(L[[t]], m'_t, \omega'_t)$ equivalent formal deformations. Then, (m_1, ω_1) and (m'_1, ω'_1) are in the same cohomological class.

Example: Heisenberg algebras

Definition (Heisenberg algebra)

The three dimensional Heisenberg algebra \mathcal{H} is spanned by elements x, y, z and equipped with the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ defined by

$$[x, y] = z, [x, z] = [y, z] = 0.$$



Werner Heisenberg (1901-1976)

Example: Heisenberg algebras

Definition (Heisenberg algebra)

The three dimensional Heisenberg algebra \mathcal{H} is spanned by elements x, y, z and equipped with the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ defined by

$$[x, y] = z, [x, z] = [y, z] = 0.$$



Werner Heisenberg (1901-1976)

Proposition

There are up to isomorphism two restricted Heisenberg algebras in characteristic $p = 2$, given by

- 1 $x^{[2]} = y^{[2]} = z^{[2]} = 0$, denoted by $(\mathcal{H}, 0)$;
- 2 $x^{[2]} = y^{[2]} = 0, z^{[2]} = z$, denoted by (\mathcal{H}, z^*) ;

Example: Heisenberg algebras

Definition (Heisenberg algebra)

The three dimensional Heisenberg algebra \mathcal{H} is spanned by elements x, y, z and equipped with the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ defined by

$$[x, y] = z, [x, z] = [y, z] = 0.$$



Werner Heisenberg (1901-1976)

Proposition

There are up to isomorphism two restricted Heisenberg algebras in characteristic $p = 2$, given by

- 1 $x^{[2]} = y^{[2]} = z^{[2]} = 0$, denoted by $(\mathcal{H}, 0)$;
- 2 $x^{[2]} = y^{[2]} = 0$, $z^{[2]} = z$, denoted by (\mathcal{H}, z^*) ;

Let $(\cdot)^{[2]}$ a 2-map on \mathcal{H} . Then,

$$(x + y)^{[2]} = x^{[2]} + y^{[2]} + z; (x + z)^{[2]} = x^{[2]} + z^{[2]}; (y + z)^{[2]} = y^{[2]} + z^{[2]}.$$

Example: Heisenberg algebras

Definition (Heisenberg algebra)

The three dimensional Heisenberg algebra \mathcal{H} is spanned by elements x, y, z and equipped with the Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ defined by

$$[x, y] = z, [x, z] = [y, z] = 0.$$



Werner Heisenberg (1901-1976)

Proposition

There are up to isomorphism two restricted Heisenberg algebras in characteristic $p = 2$, given by

- 1 $x^{[2]} = y^{[2]} = z^{[2]} = 0$, denoted by $(\mathcal{H}, 0)$;
- 2 $x^{[2]} = y^{[2]} = 0$, $z^{[2]} = z$, denoted by (\mathcal{H}, z^*) ;

Let $(\cdot)^{[2]}$ a 2-map on \mathcal{H} . Then,

$$(x + y)^{[2]} = x^{[2]} + y^{[2]} + z; (x + z)^{[2]} = x^{[2]} + z^{[2]}; (y + z)^{[2]} = y^{[2]} + z^{[2]}.$$

Let $u = ax + by + cz \in \mathcal{H}$, $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$. Then $u^{[2]} = a^2x^{[2]} + b^2y^{[2]} + c^2z^{[2]} + abz$.

Restricted cohomology with adjoint coefficients

Theorem (Second cohomology group with adjoint coefficients, $p = 2$)

We have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} (H_{*2}^2(\mathcal{H}, 0)) = 3$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} (H_{*2}^2(\mathcal{H}, z^*)) = 2$.

- A basis for $H_{*2}^2(\mathcal{H}, 0)$ is given by $\{(\varphi_1, \omega_1), (\varphi_2, \omega_2), (0, \omega_3)\}$, with

$$\varphi_1(y, z) = z; \varphi_2(x, z) = z; \omega_1(y) = y; \omega_2(x) = x; \omega_3(z) = z.$$

- A basis for $H_{*2}^2(\mathcal{H}, z^*)$ is given by $\{(\varphi_1, \omega_1), (\varphi_2, \omega_2)\}$, with

$$\varphi_1(x, y) = x; \varphi_2(x, y) = y; \omega_1(y) = y; \omega_2(x) = x.$$

Thank you for your attention!